

# | SFU SCIENCE UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH JOURNAL POSTER PRESENTATION

## JUDGING RUBRIC



POSTER # \_\_\_\_\_

PRESENTER'S NAME(S) \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S NAME \_\_\_\_\_

Category	1-4	5-8	9-12	SCORE (/12 each)
<b>Research Topic/ Abstract</b>	Unable to connect abstract to poster content <b>Insufficient</b> information Research Objectives and results incomplete	Abstract <b>adequately</b> presents student's research Abstract is complete but lacks polish, or would benefit from additional information	<b>Strong</b> Abstract highlighting student's objectives and results of the research. Eloquently written	<b>Abstract</b>
<b>Research Design (Methods, Results, Discussion)</b>	Material presented is <b>incomplete or insufficient</b> Methods, results and conclusions not scientifically accurate, or incomplete	Material is <b>adequately</b> presented. Methods, results and conclusion adequately presented. <i>More information would have been beneficial</i>	Strong material, presented effectively. Methods, results and <b>strong conclusion with thorough discussion</b> , clearly showing progress of research.	<b>Research Topic and Design (Methods, Results, Discussion)</b>
<b>Overall Poster Clarity and Organization</b>	Poster's visual organization clearly <b>needs improvement</b> Layout of poster impedes the understanding of the project	Poster's visual organization was <b>acceptable</b> Layout of poster could use minor improvements	Poster's visual organization was <b>very</b> appealing, demonstrating effective use of organization Easy to read.	<b>Overall Poster Clarity and Organization</b>
<b>Presentation</b>	Unenthusiastic presentation May contain: lack of eye contact, excessive mumbling, unprofessionalism, difficulty/lack of information in presentation	Acceptable presentation that sufficiently presents the information of their project. Minor errors in presentation skills.	Enthusiastic, well-rehearsed presentation that is engaging. Good speed/volume in speaking Concise and clear explanations about research.	<b>Presentation</b>
<b>Knowledge of Research Project</b>	Presenter unable to sufficiently answer questions about their project Lack of understanding of the research topic outside the confines of their study	Presenter able to answer basic questions about their project Has difficulty answering critical thinking questions about the research topic	Presenter shows <b>very thorough</b> understanding of their research topic Displays an understanding of current and past literature	<b>Knowledge of Research Project</b>

Additional Comments:

| SFU SCIENCE UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH JOURNAL POSTER PRESENTATION  
JUDGING RUBRIC

POSTER # \_\_\_\_\_

PRESENTER'S NAME(S) \_\_\_\_\_

JUDGE'S NAME \_\_\_\_\_

**BREAKDOWN OF THE JUDGING CATEGORIES:**

<b>Research Topic/Abstract</b>	Is the objectives and goals of the research clear? What is the Result of their work? How well does the Abstract summarize the research project?
<b>Research Design (Methods, Results, Discussion)</b>	Is the research design scientifically sound (Methods) Are the results presented correctly? (Figures, statistics) Is there is a thorough discussion with demonstration of knowledge on their research topic?
<b>Overall Poster Clarity and Organization</b>	Is the poster's organization effective and visually appealing?
<b>Presentation</b>	Was the presenter prepared? Was the presentation clear, and engaging?
<b>Knowledge of Research Project</b>	Does the presenter demonstrate knowledge about their study in the greater context of their research topic?